



Parish Council would need to delegate their Powers to the Committee to enable Councillors to act appropriately.

Cllr. Martin reminded Councillors that the reason for considering this system is to enable the Parish Council to react to the outcomes of the Parish Plan more easily. She said it would be difficult to get through the amount of work required unless working parties are formed which can incorporate other parishioners to look at the various areas of need (as with the working parties which have already been set up for Roads and Traffic and Recreation). A working party to also be considered is “Communication” to look at such issues as Broadband, newsletter and website (led potentially by Cllr. Hurst, and to include representatives of the website and newsletter team) – it was agreed that this should be confirmed at the September meeting and other working parties could be set up as and when the need arises.

- **Feedback with regard to B.T. Phone Box Signage** – Following on from the public comment, the possibility of a wooden finger post or wooden sign on the grass area to the front of the Information Kiosk was discussed. Cllr. Cook was asked to investigate the cost of such signage. Cllr. Bridger was asked to cut the hedge much lower in September so that the Information Kiosk could be seen more easily from the road.

12/111 TO APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO VILLAGE DESIGN STATEMENT PRINCIPLES OF THE PARISH PLAN

Councillors were asked to approve amendments to the Village Design Statement principles of the Parish plan. It was explained that the Parish Plan Steering Group has been working on the Local Landscape Character Assessment, a companion document to the Parish Plan Report. In doing so, a small number of points have arisen which should be taken into account when reviewing planning applications and should therefore be incorporated into the main Design Guidance section of the Parish Plan Report which had been approved at the last meeting. The proposed amended section had been circulated to Councillors before the meeting and there had been no questions.

It was PROPOSED that the amended section of the Village Design Statement Principles of the Parish Plan be approved and adopted – this was unanimously AGREED.

12/112 CURRENT PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Cllr. Bridger declared a pecuniary interest in planning application SDNP/12/01353/FUL and left the room during the discussion.

SDNP/12/01 46/HOUS	<b>Conversion of existing garage/workshop to ancillary accommodation. Erection of a detached car port.</b>	Sheldon House, Steep GU32 1AE	OBJECTION
SDNP/12/00 969/LIS	<b>Repair of existing timber windows on a like-for-like basis and replacement of single glazed panes with double glazed units</b>	Five Oaks, 18 Church Road, Steep GU32 2DN	NO OBJECTION
SDNP/12/01 317/FUL	<b>Retention of metal railings outside library building</b>	Bedales School, Church Road, Steep GU32 2DG	NO OBJECTION
SDNP/12/01 353/FUL	<b>Detached dwelling for use as a holiday let following demolition of barn</b>	Ashford Farm, Ashford Lane, Steep GU32 1AA	OBJECTION

12/113 FEEDBACK FROM PLANNING COMMITTEE ON SDNP/12/00513/FUL (BRICKYARDS INDUSTRIAL ESTATE)

Cllr. Hurst said that residents of Steep Marsh were very disappointed in the decision to approve the planning application for three new industrial units at the Brickyards and frustrated with Hampshire Highways who had withdrawn their objection even though there were no changes to the application.

The Parish Council discussed whether there would be a case for the Ombudsmen to consider. Cllr. Hurst was asked to look into the options and report back at the next meeting.

It was agreed that further research into specific rural traffic calming is needed and a decision on this should be deferred until the next meeting.

12/114 CORRESPONDENCE

- (a) Letter regarding traffic on Stoner Hill – It was agreed that the Roads and Traffic Working Party should look into the issues raised. Cllr. Openshaw will check weight restrictions on Stoner Hill.
- (b) Copy of letter sent to EHDC regarding lack of neighbour notification of planning applications – the comments were noted;
- (c) E-mail from Froxfield P.C. regarding combined training session – Councillors agreed that this session would be worthwhile – the Clerk was asked to sort out date/time/venue with the Froxfield Clerk.
- (d) Further consultation – National Planning Policy Framework (Joint Core Strategy) – the Clerk was asked to forward this to Peter Cruttenden for advice.

12/115 DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING – Monday, 3<sup>rd</sup> September 2012 at 7.30 p.m.

The Meeting closed at 9.30 p.m.